

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/523,446	03/10/2000	Qiming Chen	10991148-1	5325	
22879 7	590 01/15/2003				
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			WU, YICUN		
FORT COLLI	FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2175		
•			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s))\
Advisory Action	09/523,446	CHEN ET AL.	
-	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yicun Wu	2175	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated applicated and abandonent whicles.	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejectine FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-18,20,22-25 and 27-30</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	(C)	D ~
10. Other:	5	DOV POPOV SUPERVISORY PATEN	
		TECHNOLOGY CEN	



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claimed limitations of the finally rejected claims are still meet by the prior art made of record. Han in view of Tuzhilin U.S. Patent No. 6,23,978, and Fawcett U.S. Patent No. 5790,645. Applicant amendment filed 8/19/02 intorduced new claims 27, 28 and amendments to claim 14 introduced new claim limitation "telecomunication fraud". As a result, the examiner was forced to search for this newly added claimed limitation "telecomunication fraud" and a newly reference was discovered and applied to the 103 rejection to reject the newly added claimed limitation "telecommunication fraud" which was added by applicants amendment. Thefore, Applicant amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in the last office action mailed 9-10-02. Accordingly, the action is made final, the final rejection is proper.